EVALUATION OF SOME WHEAT GENOTYPES UNDER DIFFERENT SEEDING RATES By

Mehasen, S. A. S.*; M. A. Ahmed** and M. A. M. Morsy***

ABSTRACT

Two field experiments were conducted at the Experiment and Research Center, Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Benha Univ., Kalubia Governorate, Egypt, during 2007/08 and 2008/09 seasons, to study the effect of three seeding rates (40, 60 and 80 kg fed⁻¹) on growth, yield and yield components of seven wheat genotypes (Giza 168, Sakha 93, Sakha 94, Gemmiza 7, Gemmiza 9, Sids 1 and Sids 12). The most important results which were obtained from this study were as follows:

Gemmiza 7 gave the highest values of flag leaf area, spike length, No. of spikelets spike⁻¹, seed index and grain yield fed⁻¹, Gemmiza 9 gave the highest values of plant height and straw yield fed⁻¹ while, Sakha 94 gave the highest values of No. of tillers plant⁻¹ and No. of spikes/m² moreover, Sids 1, Gemmiza 7 and Sakha 93 genotypes were earlier heading, respectively compared with other genotypes.

The highest values of plant height, No. of spikes/m², grain and straw yields fed⁻¹were produced by the highest seeding rate (80 kg fed⁻¹). On the other hand, the lowest seeding rate (40 kg fed⁻¹) producing the highest values of No. of tillers plant⁻¹, flag leaf area, spike length, No. of spikelets spike⁻¹and seed index, while No. of days to 50% heading was not significantly affect by different seeding rates.

Effect of the interaction between wheat genotypes and seeding rates was significant on No. of tillers plant⁻¹, No. of days to 50% heading, flag leaf area, plant height, spike length, No. of spikelets spike⁻¹, No. of spikes/m², seed index, grain and straw yields fed⁻¹.

Results indicate that No. of tillers plant⁻¹, plant height, spike length, number of spikes/m² and straw yield fed⁻ had the greatest influence on grain yield fed⁻¹. Flag leaf area, was significantly correlated with grain yield fed⁻¹.

Keywords: Wheat, Genotypes, Seeding rates, Growth, Yield and its components.

INTRODUCTION

Wheat is considered the main source of food in the world and in Egypt. Raising wheat production through increasing productivity and increasing the cultivated area is an important national target to minimize the gap between the Egyptian production and consumption. In Egypt, the wheat cultivated area is about 2.9 million feddans producing 7.83 million tons (Agric. Statistic Bulletin, 2008). Increasing wheat yield per unit area can be achieved by breeding high yielding varieties or improving the cultural treatments of the crop.

Several investigators showed that wheat cultivars differed in growth, yield and its components (Metwally et al, 1998; Mehasen, 1999; El-Hawary, 2000; Abd El-hameed, 2002; Ali et al, 2004; Mehasen and Mohamed, 2005; Abu-Grab et al, 2006; Omar, 2007; El-Ganayni and Mahmoud, 2008; Hassan, 2008 and El-Nady, 2009).

Most of the previous studies have shown different effects for seeding rate on growth, yield and yield attributes of wheat. Toaima et al, (2000); Saleh (2002); Ramadan (2003); Ali et al, (2004); Abu-Grab et al, (2006); Omar (2007); El-Afandy et al, (2007); El-Ganayni and Mahmoud (2008); Ramadan and Awaad (2008) and Hassan (2008) reported increases in plant height, No. of spikes/m²,

^{*}Agron. Dept., Fac. of Agric., Benha Univ., Egypt.

^{**} Dept. of Agron., Fac. of Nasser Agric Science, Aden Univ., Yemen.

^{***}Central Administration for Seed Certification, Ministry of Agric. and Land Reclamation, Egypt.

grain and straw yields with increasing seeding rates, while No. of tillers plant⁻¹, No. of days to 50% heading, flag leaf area, length spike, No. of spikelets spike⁻¹ and 1000-kernels weight were decreased.

Grain yield fed⁻¹ was positively and significantly correlated with plant height, spike length, No. of spikes/m² and straw yield (El-Wakil and Abd-Alla, 2004; Swelam, 2008; El-Ganayni and Mahmoud, 2008; Ramadan and Awaad, 2008 and Hassan, 2008).

Therefore, the present investigation was designed to study the performance and productivity of wheat genotypes under different seeding rates, in Kalubia Governorate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Two field experiments were conducted at the Experimental Research Center, Faculty of Agriculture at Moshtohor, Benha Univ., Kalubia Governorate, Egypt, during the two winter successive growing seasons 2007/08 and 2008/09, to study the effect of three seeding rates (40, 60 and 80 kg fed⁻¹) on growth yield and yield components for seven wheat genotypes (Giza 168, Sakha 93, Sakha 94, Gemmiza 7, Gemmiza 9, Sids 1 and Sids 12). The soil was clay in texture with a pH value of 7.81, 7.79 and an organic matter content of 1.67, 1.78% and available N of 50, 58 ppm during the two growing seasons, respectively.

The treatments were assigned in a split-plot design with three replications. Genotypes of wheat were arranged at random in the main plots while, seeding rates occupied the sub-plots. The sub-plot area was 10.5 m² consisted of 15 rows of 3.5 m length and spaced 20 cm apart.

Wheat genotypes were cultivated on November 28th and 30th in the first and second seasons, respectively. The preceding crop was maize in both seasons. The normal cultural practices were carried out as recommends.

The studied traits were No. of tillers plant⁻¹, No. of days to 50% heading and flag leaf area (cm²). Random samples of 10 plants were taken from sub-plots at harvesting time to determine the following characters: plant height (cm), spike length (cm), and No. of spikelets spike⁻¹. For determine No. of spikes/m² and seed index (g) a sample of one square meter from each sub-plot was taken. Grain and straw yields (kg fed⁻¹) were estimated on whole sub-plot basis.

Analysis of variance was done for the data of each season separately and combined analysis was performed for the data over the two seasons according to **Snedecor and Cochran (1980)** treatment means were compared using least significant difference test at 0.05 level of significance. Simple and multiple correlation and coefficient of determination were computed between the above mentioned characters as outlined by **Steel and Torrie (1987)**. Using the MSTAT-C Statistical Software package (**Michigan State University, 1983**)

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analyses of variances for all traits in each season as well as the combined analysis are presented in **Table (1)**. Test of homogeneity revealed that the error variance for the two seasons were homogenous,

therefore combined analysis was processed. Year's mean squares were highly significant for all the studied traits except for No. of spikelets spike⁻¹ and seed index were not significant. Wheat genotypes mean squares were highly significant for all traits in both seasons as well as the combined data. Seeding rates mean squares were significant for all traits in both seasons as well as the combined data except No. of days to 50% heading. The interaction between years and wheat genotypes mean squares was not significant for all of the studied characters except No. of tillers plant⁻¹ and straw yield fed⁻¹. The interaction between years and seeding rates mean squares was not significant for all of the studied characters except No. of tillers plant⁻¹, flag leaf area, No. of spikelets spike⁻¹ and seed index. The interaction between years, wheat genotypes and seeding rates mean squares were not significant for all of the studied characters except No. of tillers plant⁻¹ and straw yield fed⁻¹.

Table (1). Mean squares values and significance for growth, yield and yield components of wheat genotypes in 2007/08, 2008/09 seasons and their combined analysis

No. of tillers No. days Flag leaf Plant Spike length length			genoty	pes in ze		JUUIUJ SC	asons and	ı meir co	mometa a	amarysis				
The color Solor Solor				-	Flag leaf	Plant	Spike	No. of	No. of	Seed		Straw		
Rep. 2 0.192* 0.106 0.800 0.103 0.007 0.044 0.444* 0.291 7938.111 3594.048*						height	length				yield			
Rep. 2 0.192* 2.016 0.800 0.103 0.007 0.044 0.444* 0.291 7938.111 3594.048* Geno. 6 0.395** 23.106** 68.660** 489.92** 11.62** 3.452** 454.92** 27.783** 118688.4** 363.40.06** Err.(a) VY 0.033 2.812 2.527 0.711 0.035 3.053 11.981 0.152 2838.481 590.103 SR. 2 18.94** 0.111 644.46** 368.22** 2.325** 4.488** 20100** 32.465** 223291.9** 584754.3** GxSR. 12 0.168** 0.630 5.166** 8.087** 0.052 0.056* 105.75** 0.181* 2303.680** 3381.611** Err.(b) 28 0.027 0.698 1.270 1.894 0.035 0.023 26.071 0.078 734.024 565.357 C.V.* 2 2.90 0.762 0.970 1.968 0.004 0.089	S.O.V	d.f	plant ⁻¹	heading	(cm ²)				m ²	maca (g)	(tons fed ⁻¹)	fed ⁻¹)		
Geno. 6 0.395** 23.106** 68.660** 489.92** 11.62** 3.452** 454.92** 27.783** 118688.4** 36340.06** Err.(a) 1Y 0.033 2.812 2.527 0.711 0.035 0.053 11.981 0.152 2838.481 590.103 SR. 2 18.94** 0.111 644.46** 368.22** 2.325** 4.488** 20100** 32.465** 2.23291.9** 584754.3** GxSR. 12 0.168** 0.630 5.166** 8.087** 0.052 0.056** 105.75** 0.181* 230.368** 3381.611** Err.(b) 28 0.027 0.698 1.270 1.894 0.035 0.023 26.071 0.078 734.024 565.357 CV.% 4.38 0.91 2.90 1.37 1.60 0.73 1.15 0.67 0.87 0.56 Err.(b) 2 2.90 0.762 0.970 1.968 0.004 0.089 18.143 0.136														
Err.(a) 1 v 0.033 2.812 2.527 0.711 0.035 0.053 11.981 0.152 2838.481 590.103 SR. 2 18.94** 0.111 644.46** 368.22** 2.325** 4.488** 20100** 32.465** 223291.9** 584754.3** GxSR. 12 0.168** 0.630 5.166** 8.087** 0.052 0.056* 105.75** 0.181* 2303.680** 3381.611** Err.(b) 28 0.027 0.698 1.270 1.894 0.035 0.023 26.071 0.078 734.024 565.357 C.V.% 4.38 0.91 2.90 1.37 1.60 0.73 1.15 0.67 0.87 0.56 Rep. 2 2.90 0.762 0.970 1.968 0.004 0.089 18.143 0.136 3603.762 2401.921* Geno. 6 0.092** 2.2222** 61.449** 420.27** 11.65** 3.017** 681.98** 25.193**	Rep.	2												
SR. 2 18.94** 0.111 644.46** 368.22** 2.325** 4.488** 20100** 32.465** 223291.9** 584754.3** GxSR. 12 0.168** 0.630 5.166** 8.087** 0.052 0.056* 105.75** 0.181* 2303.680** 3381.611** Err.(b) 28 0.027 0.698 1.270 1.894 0.035 0.023 26.071 0.078 734.024 565.357 C.V.* 4.38 0.91 2.90 1.37 1.60 0.73 1.15 0.67 0.87 0.56 Rep. 2 2.90 0.762 0.970 1.968 0.004 0.089 18.143 0.136 3603.762 2401.921* Geno. 6 0.092** 22.222** 61.449** 420.27** 11.65** 3.017** 681.98** 25.193** 88599.51** 3711.40** Err.(a) 1Y 0.017 1.429 1.554 1.339 0.028 0.040 21.513 0.151 </th <th>Geno.</th> <th>6</th> <th>0.395**</th> <th>23.106**</th> <th>68.660**</th> <th>489.92**</th> <th>11.62**</th> <th>3.452**</th> <th>454.92**</th> <th>27.783**</th> <th>118688.4**</th> <th>36340.06**</th>	Geno.	6	0.395**	23.106**	68.660**	489.92**	11.62**	3.452**	454.92**	27.783**	118688.4**	36340.06**		
GxSR. 12 0.168** 0.630 5.166** 8.087** 0.052 0.056** 105.75** 0.181* 2303.680** 3381.611** Err.(b) 28 0.027 0.698 1.270 1.894 0.035 0.023 26.071 0.078 734.024 565.357 C.V.% 4.38 0.91 2.90 1.37 1.60 0.73 1.15 0.67 0.87 0.56 2008/2009 season 2 2.90 0.762 0.970 1.968 0.004 0.089 18.143 0.136 3603.762 2401.921* Geno. 6 0.092** 22.222** 61.449** 420.27** 11.65** 3.017** 681.98** 25.193** 8859.51** 37171.40** Err.(a) 17 0.017 1.429 1.554 1.339 0.028 0.040 21.513 0.151 1076.188 482.550 SR. 2 14.80** 0.619 636.50** 468.63** 2.517** 7.888** 2	Err.(a)	١٢	0.033	2.812	2.527	0.711	0.035	0.053	11.981	0.152	2838.481	590.103		
Err.(b) 28 0.027 0.698 1.270 1.894 0.035 0.023 26.071 0.078 734.024 565.357 C.V.% 4.38 0.91 2.90 1.37 1.60 0.73 1.15 0.67 0.87 0.56 coverage coverage coverage coverage coverage coverage Rep. 2 2.90 0.762 0.970 1.968 0.004 0.089 18.143 0.136 3603.762 2401.921* Geno. 6 0.092** 22.222** 61.449** 420.27** 11.65** 3.017** 681.98** 25.193** 88599.51** 37171.40** Err.(a) VY 0.017 1.429 1.554 1.339 0.028 0.040 21.513 0.151 1076.188 482.550 SR. 2 14.80** 0.619 636.50** 468.63** 2.517** 7.888** 22844** 43.871** 226737.5** 646942.7**	SR.	2	18.94**	0.111	644.46**	368.22**	2.325**	4.488**	20100**	32.465**	223291.9**	584754.3**		
C.V.%	G.xSR.	12	0.168**	0.630	5.166**	8.087**	0.052	0.056*	105.75**	0.181*	2303.680**	3381.611**		
Rep. 2 2.90 0.762 0.970 1.968 0.004 0.089 18.143 0.136 3603.762 2401.921* Geno. 6 0.092** 22.222** 61.449** 420.27** 11.65** 3.017** 681.98** 25.193** 88599.51** 37171.40** Err.(a) 11 0.017 1.429 1.554 1.339 0.028 0.040 21.513 0.151 1076.188 482.550 SR. 2 14.80** 0.619 636.50** 468.63** 2.517** 7.888** 22844** 43.871** 22673.5** 646942.7** G.xSR. 12 0.067** 1.452** 5.893** 5.394** 0.037 0.073 50.148 0.382** 1583.942** 1903.693* Err.(b) 28 0.014 0.405 1.493 1.643 0.025 0.066 13.889 0.086 457.770 846.508 C.V.% 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83 0.70 0.68 0.68 Year 1 1.904** 22.294** 25.976** 160.25** 0.731** 0.168 613.36** 0.446 30520.00** 268366.8** RepxY 4 0.099** 1.389 0.885 1.036 0.006 0.006 0.066 9.294 0.213 5770.937* 2997.984** Geno. 6 0.184** 43.442** 127.51** 907.98** 23.26** 6.431** 1096.7** 52.812** 205506.1** 71779.77** YGG. 6 0.303** 1.886 2.590 2.216 0.015 0.038 40.180 0.164 1781.767 1731.698* Err.(a) 24 0.025 2.120 2.040 1.025 0.032 0.046 16.747 0.152 1957.335 536.327 SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** 33.05* 4.839** 12.13** 42897** 75.891** 449686.0** 1230423** YS SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** 3.205 0.003 0.239** 47.484 0.446** 343.437 1273.198 G.XSR. 12 0.108** 0.553 1.272 1.379 0.009 0.023 22.966 0.107 408.140 1459.337* Err.(b) 56 0.020 0.552 1.381 1.769 0.030 0.045 19.980 0.082 595.897 705.933	Err.(b)	28	0.027	0.698	1.270	1.894	0.035	0.023	26.071	0.078	734.024	565.357		
Rep. 2 2.90 0.762 0.970 1.968 0.004 0.089 18.143 0.136 3603.762 2401.921* Geno. 6 0.092** 22.222** 61.449** 420.27** 11.65** 3.017** 681.98** 25.193** 88599.51** 37171.40** Err.(a) 1Y 0.017 1.429 1.554 1.339 0.028 0.040 21.513 0.151 1076.188 482.550 SR. 2 14.80** 0.619 636.50** 468.63** 2.517** 7.888** 22844** 43.871** 226737.5** 646942.7** G.xSR. 12 0.067** 1.452** 5.893** 5.394** 0.037 0.073 50.148 0.382** 1583.942** 1903.693* Err.(b) 28 0.014 0.405 1.493 1.643 0.025 0.066 13.889 0.086 457.70 846.508 Cv.V* 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83	C.V.%		4.38	0.91	2.90	1.37	1.60	0.73	1.15	0.67	0.87	0.56		
Geno. 6 0.092** 22.222** 61.449** 420.27** 11.65** 3.017** 681.98** 25.193** 88599.51** 37171.40** Err.(a) 1 V 0.017 1.429 1.554 1.339 0.028 0.040 21.513 0.151 1076.188 482.550 SR. 2 14.80** 0.619 636.50** 468.63** 2.517** 7.888** 22844** 43.871** 226737.5** 646942.7** G.xSR. 12 0.067** 1.452** 5.893** 5.394** 0.037 0.073 50.148 0.382** 1583.942** 1903.693* Err.(b) 28 0.014 0.405 1.493 1.643 0.025 0.066 13.889 0.086 457.770 846.508 C.V.% 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83 0.70 0.68 0.68 Year 1 1.904** 22.294** 25.976** 160.25** 0.731** 0.168 613.36**														
Err.(a) 1 v 0.017 1.429 1.554 1.339 0.028 0.040 21.513 0.151 1076.188 482.550 SR. 2 14.80** 0.619 636.50** 468.63** 2.517** 7.888** 22844** 43.871** 226737.5** 646942.7** G.xSR. 12 0.067** 1.452** 5.893** 5.394** 0.037 0.073 50.148 0.382** 1583.942** 1903.693* Err.(b) 28 0.014 0.405 1.493 1.643 0.025 0.066 13.889 0.086 457.770 846.508 C.V.% 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83 0.70 0.68 0.68 C.V.% 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83 0.70 0.68 0.68 C.V.% 1.389 0.885 1.036 0.036 0.168 613.36** 0.446 30520.00** 268366.8**	Rep.	2	2.90	0.762	0.970	1.968	0.004	0.089	18.143	0.136	3603.762	2401.921*		
SR. 2 14.80** 0.619 636.50** 468.63** 2.517** 7.888** 22844** 43.871** 226737.5** 646942.7** G.xSR. 12 0.067** 1.452** 5.893** 5.394** 0.037 0.073 50.148 0.382** 1583.942** 1903.693* Err.(b) 28 0.014 0.405 1.493 1.643 0.025 0.066 13.889 0.086 457.770 846.508 C.V.% 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83 0.70 0.68 0.68 Combined analysis Year 1 1.904** 22.294** 25.976** 160.25** 0.731** 0.168 613.36** 0.446 30520.00** 268366.8** RepxY 4 0.099** 1.389 0.885 1.036 0.006 0.066 9.294 0.213 5770.937* 2997.984** Geno. 6 0.184** 43.442** 127.51** 907.98** 23.2	Geno.	6	0.092**	22.222**	61.449**	420.27**	11.65**	3.017**	681.98**	25.193**	88599.51**	37171.40**		
G.xSR. 12 0.067** 1.452** 5.893** 5.394** 0.037 0.073 50.148 0.382** 1583.942** 1903.693* Err.(b) 28 0.014 0.405 1.493 1.643 0.025 0.066 13.889 0.086 457.770 846.508 C.V.% 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83 0.70 0.68 0.68 C.V.% 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83 0.70 0.68 0.68 C.V.% 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83 0.70 0.68 0.68 C.V.% 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83 0.70 0.68 0.68 C.V.% 1.029 0.731** 0.168 613.36** 0.446 30520.00** 268366.8** RepxY 4 0.099** 1.389	Err.(a)	١٢	0.017	1.429	1.554	1.339	0.028	0.040	21.513	0.151	1076.188	482.550		
Err.(b) 28 0.014 0.405 1.493 1.643 0.025 0.066 13.889 0.086 457.770 846.508 C.V.% 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83 0.70 0.68 0.68 **Temporal Combined analysis** Vear 1 1.904** 22.294** 25.976** 160.25** 0.731** 0.168 613.36** 0.446 30520.00** 268366.8** **RepxY 4 0.099** 1.389 0.885 1.036 0.006 0.066 9.294 0.213 5770.937* 2997.984** **Geno. 6 0.184** 43.442** 127.51** 907.98** 23.26** 6.431** 1096.7** 52.812** 205506.1** 71779.77** **YxG. 6 0.303** 1.886 2.590 2.216 0.015 0.038 40.180 0.164 1781.767 1731.698* **Err.(a) 24 0.025 2.120 2.040 1.025 0.032 0.046 16.747 0.152 1957.335 536.327 **SR. 2 33.57** 0.103 1272.3** 833.65** 4.839** 12.13** 42897** 75.891** 449686.0** 1230423** **Yx SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** 3.205 0.003 0.239** 47.484 0.446** 343.437 1273.198 **GxSR. 12 0.127** 1.529** 9.787** 12.102** 0.080** 0.105* 132.94** 0.456** 3479.481** 3825.967** **Yx GxS 12 0.108** 0.553 1.272 1.379 0.009 0.023 22.966 0.107 408.140 1459.337* **Err.(b) 56 0.020 0.552 1.381 1.769 0.030 0.045 19.980 0.082 595.897 705.933	SR.	2	14.80**	0.619	636.50**	468.63**	2.517**	7.888**	22844**	43.871**	226737.5**	646942.7**		
C.V.% 2.91 0.69 3.08 1.25 1.33 1.23 0.83 0.70 0.68 0.68 Year 1 1.904** 22.294** 25.976** 160.25** 0.731** 0.168 613.36** 0.446 30520.00** 268366.8** RepxY 4 0.099** 1.389 0.885 1.036 0.006 0.066 9.294 0.213 5770.937* 2997.984** Geno. 6 0.184** 43.442** 127.51** 907.98** 23.26** 6.431** 1096.7** 52.812** 205506.1** 71779.77** YxG. 6 0.303** 1.886 2.590 2.216 0.015 0.038 40.180 0.164 1781.767 1731.698* Err.(a) 24 0.025 2.120 2.040 1.025 0.032 0.046 16.747 0.152 1957.335 536.327 SR. 2 33.57** 0.103 1272.3** 833.65** 4.839** 12.13** 42897** 75.891** 449686.0** 1230423** Yx SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** 3.205 0.003 0.239** 47.484 0.446** 343.437 1273.198 G.xSR. 12 0.127** 1.529** 9.787** 12.102** 0.080** 0.105* 132.94** 0.456** 3479.481** 3825.967** Yx GxS 12 0.108** 0.553 1.272 1.379 0.009 0.023 22.966 0.107 408.140 1459.337* Err.(b) 56 0.020 0.552 1.381 1.769 0.030 0.045 19.980 0.082 595.897 705.933	G.xSR.	12	0.067**	1.452**	5.893**	5.394**	0.037	0.073	50.148	0.382**	1583.942**	1903.693*		
Combined analysis Year 1 1.904** 22.294** 25.976** 160.25** 0.731** 0.168 613.36** 0.446 30520.00** 268366.8** RepxY 4 0.099** 1.389 0.885 1.036 0.006 0.066 9.294 0.213 5770.937* 2997.984** Geno. 6 0.184** 43.442** 127.51** 907.98** 23.26** 6.431** 1096.7** 52.812** 205506.1** 71779.77** YxG. 6 0.303** 1.886 2.590 2.216 0.015 0.038 40.180 0.164 1781.767 1731.698* Err.(a) 24 0.025 2.120 2.040 1.025 0.032 0.046 16.747 0.152 1957.335 536.327 SR. 2 33.57** 0.103 1272.3** 833.65** 4.839** 12.13** 42897** 75.891** 449686.0** 1230423** Yx SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** <th>Err.(b)</th> <th>28</th> <th>0.014</th> <th>0.405</th> <th>1.493</th> <th>1.643</th> <th>0.025</th> <th>0.066</th> <th>13.889</th> <th>0.086</th> <th>457.770</th> <th>846.508</th>	Err.(b)	28	0.014	0.405	1.493	1.643	0.025	0.066	13.889	0.086	457.770	846.508		
Year 1 1.904** 22.294** 25.976** 160.25** 0.731** 0.168 613.36** 0.446 30520.00** 268366.8** RepxY 4 0.099** 1.389 0.885 1.036 0.006 0.066 9.294 0.213 5770.937* 2997.984** Geno. 6 0.184** 43.442** 127.51** 907.98** 23.26** 6.431** 1096.7** 52.812** 205506.1** 71779.77** YxG. 6 0.303** 1.886 2.590 2.216 0.015 0.038 40.180 0.164 1781.767 1731.698* Err.(a) 24 0.025 2.120 2.040 1.025 0.032 0.046 16.747 0.152 1957.335 536.327 SR. 2 33.57** 0.103 1272.3** 833.65** 4.839** 12.13** 42897** 75.891** 449686.0** 1230423** Yx SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** 3.205 0.003 0.239*	C.V.%		2.91	0.69	3.08	1.25	1.33	1.23	0.83	0.70	0.68	0.68		
RepxY 4 0.099** 1.389 0.885 1.036 0.006 0.066 9.294 0.213 5770.937* 2997.984** Geno. 6 0.184** 43.442** 127.51** 907.98** 23.26** 6.431** 1096.7** 52.812** 205506.1** 71779.77** YxG. 6 0.303** 1.886 2.590 2.216 0.015 0.038 40.180 0.164 1781.767 1731.698* Err.(a) 24 0.025 2.120 2.040 1.025 0.032 0.046 16.747 0.152 1957.335 536.327 SR. 2 33.57** 0.103 1272.3** 833.65** 4.839** 12.13** 42897** 75.891** 449686.0** 1230423** Yx SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** 3.205 0.003 0.239** 47.484 0.446** 343.437 1273.198 G.xSR. 12 0.127** 1.529** 9.787** 12.102** 0.080** 0.105* </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>C</th> <th>ombined an</th> <th>alysis</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>						C	ombined an	alysis						
Geno. 6 0.184** 43.442** 127.51** 907.98** 23.26** 6.431** 1096.7** 52.812** 205506.1** 71779.77** YxG. 6 0.303** 1.886 2.590 2.216 0.015 0.038 40.180 0.164 1781.767 1731.698* Err.(a) 24 0.025 2.120 2.040 1.025 0.032 0.046 16.747 0.152 1957.335 536.327 SR. 2 33.57** 0.103 1272.3** 833.65** 4.839** 12.13** 42897** 75.891** 449686.0** 1230423** Yx SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** 3.205 0.003 0.239** 47.484 0.446** 343.437 1273.198 G.xSR. 12 0.127** 1.529** 9.787** 12.102** 0.080** 0.105* 132.94** 0.456** 3479.481** 3825.967** YxGxS 12 0.108** 0.553 1.272 1.379 0.009	Year	1	1.904**	22.294**	25.976**	160.25**	0.731**	0.168	613.36**	0.446	30520.00**	268366.8**		
YxG. 6 0.303** 1.886 2.590 2.216 0.015 0.038 40.180 0.164 1781.767 1731.698* Err.(a) 24 0.025 2.120 2.040 1.025 0.032 0.046 16.747 0.152 1957.335 536.327 SR. 2 33.57** 0.103 1272.3** 833.65** 4.839** 12.13** 42897** 75.891** 449686.0** 1230423** Yx SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** 3.205 0.003 0.239** 47.484 0.446** 343.437 1273.198 G.xSR. 12 0.127** 1.529** 9.787** 12.102** 0.080** 0.105* 132.94** 0.456** 3479.481** 3825.967** YxGxS 12 0.108** 0.553 1.272 1.379 0.009 0.023 22.966 0.107 408.140 1459.337* Err.(b) 56 0.020 0.552 1.381 1.769 0.030 0.045 <t< th=""><th>RepxY</th><th>4</th><th>0.099**</th><th>1.389</th><th>0.885</th><th>1.036</th><th>0.006</th><th>0.066</th><th>9.294</th><th>0.213</th><th>5770.937*</th><th>2997.984**</th></t<>	RepxY	4	0.099**	1.389	0.885	1.036	0.006	0.066	9.294	0.213	5770.937*	2997.984**		
Err.(a) 24 0.025 2.120 2.040 1.025 0.032 0.046 16.747 0.152 1957.335 536.327 SR. 2 33.57** 0.103 1272.3** 833.65** 4.839** 12.13** 42897** 75.891** 449686.0** 1230423** Yx SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** 3.205 0.003 0.239** 47.484 0.446** 343.437 1273.198 G.xSR. 12 0.127** 1.529** 9.787** 12.102** 0.080** 0.105* 132.94** 0.456** 3479.481** 3825.967** YxGxS 12 0.108** 0.553 1.272 1.379 0.009 0.023 22.966 0.107 408.140 1459.337* Err.(b) 56 0.020 0.552 1.381 1.769 0.030 0.045 19.980 0.082 595.897 705.933	Geno.	6	0.184**	43.442**	127.51**	907.98**	23.26**	6.431**	1096.7**	52.812**	205506.1**	71779.77**		
SR. 2 33.57** 0.103 1272.3** 833.65** 4.839** 12.13** 42897** 75.891** 449686.0** 1230423** Yx SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** 3.205 0.003 0.239** 47.484 0.446** 343.437 1273.198 G.xSR. 12 0.127** 1.529** 9.787** 12.102** 0.080** 0.105* 132.94** 0.456** 3479.481** 3825.967** YxGxS 12 0.108** 0.553 1.272 1.379 0.009 0.023 22.966 0.107 408.140 1459.337* Err.(b) 56 0.020 0.552 1.381 1.769 0.030 0.045 19.980 0.082 595.897 705.933	YxG.	6	0.303**	1.886	2.590	2.216	0.015	0.038	40.180	0.164	1781.767	1731.698*		
Yx SR. 2 0.184** 0.627 8.591** 3.205 0.003 0.239** 47.484 0.446** 343.437 1273.198 G.xSR. 12 0.127** 1.529** 9.787** 12.102** 0.080** 0.105* 132.94** 0.456** 3479.481** 3825.967** YxGxS 12 0.108** 0.553 1.272 1.379 0.009 0.023 22.966 0.107 408.140 1459.337* Err.(b) 56 0.020 0.552 1.381 1.769 0.030 0.045 19.980 0.082 595.897 705.933	Err.(a)	24	0.025	2.120	2.040	1.025	0.032	0.046	16.747	0.152	1957.335	536.327		
G.xSR. 12 0.127** 1.529** 9.787** 12.102** 0.080** 0.105* 132.94** 0.456** 3479.481** 3825.967** YxGxS 12 0.108** 0.553 1.272 1.379 0.009 0.023 22.966 0.107 408.140 1459.337* Err.(b) 56 0.020 0.552 1.381 1.769 0.030 0.045 19.980 0.082 595.897 705.933	SR.	2	33.57**	0.103	1272.3**	833.65**	4.839**	12.13**	42897**	75.891**	449686.0**	1230423**		
YxGxS 12 0.108** 0.553 1.272 1.379 0.009 0.023 22.966 0.107 408.140 1459.337* Err.(b) 56 0.020 0.552 1.381 1.769 0.030 0.045 19.980 0.082 595.897 705.933	Yx SR.	2	0.184**	0.627	8.591**	3.205	0.003	0.239**	47.484	0.446**	343.437	1273.198		
Err.(b) 56 0.020 0.552 1.381 1.769 0.030 0.045 19.980 0.082 595.897 705.933	G.xSR.	12	0.127**	1.529**	9.787**	12.102**	0.080**	0.105*	132.94**	0.456**	3479.481**	3825.967**		
	YxGxS	12	0.108**	0.553	1.272	1.379	0.009	0.023	22.966	0.107	408.140	1459.337*		
CV 94 3.68 0.81 2.99 1.31 1.47 1.02 1.00 0.68 0.78 0.62	Err.(b)	56	0.020	0.552	1.381	1.769	0.030	0.045	19.980	0.082	595.897	705.933		
C.V./0 3.00 0.01 2.99 1.31 1.47 1.02 1.00 0.00 0.70 0.02	C.V.%		3.68	0.81	2.99	1.31	1.47	1.02	1.00	0.68	0.78	0.62		

*and ** significant at 5% and 1% level of probability, respectively.

-Genotypes differences.

The results reported in **Table** (2) indicate clearly that, there were significant differences between the different wheat genotypes in all studied traits. Moreover; it is clear from **Table** (2) that Gemmiza 7 gave the highest values of flag leaf area, spike length, No. of spikelets spike⁻¹, seed index and grain yield fed⁻¹, Gemmiza 9 gave the highest values of plant height and straw yield fed⁻¹ while, Sakha 94 gave the highest values of No. of tillers plant⁻¹ and No. of spikes/m² moreover, Sids 1, Gemmiza 7 and Sakha 93 genotypes were earlier heading compared with other genotypes.

It could be concluded that varietal differences among wheat genotypes may be due to genetical make up. The superiority of Gemmiza 7 and Gemmiza 9 in grain yield (kg fed⁻¹) over other genotypes might be due to the increase in yield components, namely, spike length, No. of spikelets spike⁻¹ and seed index. The results obtained by Metwally *et al*, (1998); Mehasen (1999); El-Hawary (2000); Abd El-hameed (2002); Ali *et al*, (2004); Mehasen and Mohamed (2005); Abu-Grab *et al*, (2006); Omar (2007); El-Ganayni and Mahmoud (2008); Hassan (2008) and El-Nady (2009) indicated marked differences among wheat varieties in growth, yield and yield components.

-Seeding rate effect:

Results in **Table (2)** indicated that, growth, yield and its attributes of wheat i.e. No. of tillers plant⁻¹, flag leaf area, plant height, spike length, No. of spikelets spike⁻¹, No. of spikes/m², seed index, grain and straw yields were significantly affected by different seeding rates, whereas, No. of days to 50% heading was insignificantly responded to different seeding rates, under investigation. It is clear that the significant highest values of plant height (''o'.o''cm), No. of spikes/m² (£YA.q), grain yield fed⁻¹(TY.q kg) and straw yield fed⁻¹(££\A kg) were produced by the highest seeding rate (80 kg fed⁻¹). On the other hand, the lowest seeding rate (40 kg fed⁻¹) producing the highest values of No. of tillers plant⁻¹(£.A·), flag leaf area (£o.\Y cm²), spike length (\Y\Y.\Y cm), No. of spikelets spike⁻¹(Y\Y.\T\T) and seed index (£\T.\T\T) g). The negative effect of highest seeding rate on some yield makers could be attributed to the increase in population, as a result of higher seeding rate and consequently low penetration of light within wheat canopy, hence high competition between plants for water, minerals and other environmental factors.

Grains yield fed⁻¹ as a final result of all yield attributers had benefited from the progressive effects of highest seed rate on plant height and No. of spikes/m². Such positive effects were turn in grain yield fed⁻¹. The three seeding rates 40, 60, 80 kg fed⁻¹, yielded root, rote and root, kg, respectively. The present results are in full harmony with those of Toaima et al, (2000); Saleh (2002); Ramadan (2003); Ali et al, (2004); Abu-Grab et al, (2006); Omar (2007); El-Afandy et al, (2007); El-Ganayni and Mahmoud (2008); Ramadan and Awaad (2008) and Hassan (2008).

Table (2). Growth, yield and yield components of wheat as affected by genotypes and seeding rates (Combined analysis of 2007 and 2008 seasons)

Treatments	No. of tillers plant	No. days to 50% heading	Flag leaf area (cm²)	Plant height (cm)	Spike leng- th (cm)	No.of spike -lets/ spike	No. of spik- es /m ²	Seed index (g)	Grain yield (kg fed ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg fed ⁻¹)
Genotypes										
Giza 168	٣.9٤	98.11	٣٤.٢٢	۸٧.٤٠	9.75	۲۰.۰۲	£ £ 7 . 7	٣٩.٨١	7915	٤١٨٣
Sakha 93	٣.٨٠	90.	٣٨.٩٩	91.00	17.07	۲۰.۷٤	٤٥٧.٣	٤٣.٧٧	4151	5401
Sakha 94	٤.٠٧	97.17	٤٠.٢٢	99.98	17.7.	71.11	٤٦٠,١	٤٢.٩٢	7170	٤٢٨١
Gemmiza 7	٣.٧٩	۹۰.۸۳	٤٢.٦٦	١٠٦٨	17.4.	77.17	£ £ • .0	25.11	7711	٤٣٣٢
				٤						
Gemmiza 9	٣.٨٨	٩٣.٨٣	٣٩.٢٦	۲.۸۰۱	17.27	71.57	£ £ £ . •	٤٢.٤٢	٣٢	5757

				1								
Sids 1	٣.٨١	٩٠.٢٧	۳۸.۲۱	۱۰۳.٤	11.77	770	22.0	٤٠.٤٥	٣٠٣٩	٤١٩٥		
Sids 12	٣.٨٤	91.77	٤١.١٣	1.5.7	17.00	۲۰٫٦	£ £ 7 . A	٤٠.٦٧	8178	5775		
L.S.D at 5%	0.11	0.99	0.98	0.69	0.12	0.15	2.8	0.27	30	16		
Seeding rate	Seeding rates											
40 kg fed ⁻¹	٤.٨٠	91.10	٤٥.١٢	97.79	17.17	۲۱٫۳٦	10.1	٤٣.٣٣	٣٠٠٦	٤٠٧٨		
60 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.٨١	91.97	۳۸.۳۸	1.1.7	11.47	۲۰٫۸٦	£ £ 9 . A	٤٢.٠٩	7150	٤٢٨١		
80 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.٠٢	91.90	T£. TT	1.0.0	11.22	۲۰.۲۸	٤٧٨.٩	٤٠.٦٥	۳۲۰۹	٤٤١٨		
L.S.D at 5%	0.06	N.S	0.51	0.58	0.08	0.09	2.0	0.13	11	12		

-Interaction effect:

Significant effect of interaction between wheat genotypes and seeding rates was obtained for all growth, yield and yield components namely, No. of tillers plant⁻¹, of days to 50% heading, flag leaf area, plant height, spike length, No. of spikelets spike⁻¹, No. of spikes/m², seed index, grain and straw yields fed⁻¹ (Table 3). Gemmiza 9 with 80 kg fed⁻¹ seed rate gave the highest values of plant height (\(\frac{1}{1}\)\color.\(\text{T}\)\color cm), No. of spikes/m² (\(\frac{5}{1}\)\(\frac{7}{1}\)\(\frac{1}{1}\), grain yield fed⁻¹(\(\frac{7}{1}\)\color \color kg) and straw yield fed⁻¹(\(\frac{5}{1}\)\(\frac{7}{1}\)\(\text{ kg}\)\ while, Gemmiza 7 with 40 kg fed⁻¹ seed rate gave the highest values of flag leaf area (\(\frac{5}{1}\)\color cm²), spike length (\(\frac{7}{1}\)\(\frac{7}{1}\)\(\text{ cm}\), No. of spikelets spike⁻¹(\(\frac{7}{1}\)\(\frac{7}{1}\)) and seed index (\(\frac{5}{2}\)\cdot\(\frac{7}{2}\)\(\frac{7}{2}\)\) and sids 1 with 40 kg fed⁻¹ seed rate surpassed the other 6 genotypes in early heading. On the other hand, Giza 168 with 40 kg fed⁻¹ seed rate gave the lowest values of plant height (\(\frac{7}{1}\)

Table(3): Effect of the interaction between genotypes and seeding rates on growth, yield and yield components of wheat (over the combined analysis)

Tr	reatments	No. of tillers plant	No. of days to 50% heading	Flag leaf area (cm ²)	Plant height (cm)	Spike leng- th (cm)	No.of spik- elets/ spike	No.of spik- es /m ²	Seed index (g)	Grain yield (kg fed ⁻¹)	Straw yield (kg fed ⁻¹)
	40 kg fed ⁻¹	٤.٦٣	98.00	44.40	۸۲.۳۳	9.71	۲۰٫٦۳	٤١٥.٠	٤٠.٨٥	1441	4901
Gi.	60 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.9٤	97.77	۲۳.۲۸	۸۸.۳٦	٩.٢١	۲۰.۰۸	٤٤٥.٣	89.10	7977	2710
168	80 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.٢٤	98.17	٣١.٦٥	91.00	9.1+	19.77	٤٧٩.٥	٣٨.٧٣	٣٠٤٣	٤٣٧٨
	40 kg fed ⁻¹	٤,٦٤	٩٠.٨٣	٤٥.٠٣	97.77	17.2.	71.77	٤٣٠.٠	٤٤.٨٥	۲.0٤	٤١٠١
Sa.	60 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.٨١	9.0.	۳۸.۱۰	99.77	17.17	۲۰.۸۳	٤٥٩.٣	٤٣.٩٠	2112	٤٢٩.
93	80 kg fed ⁻¹	۲.90	9.17	۳۳.۸٥	1.7.0.	11.01	۲۰.۱۱	٤٨٢.٦	٤٢.٥٨	4414	٤٣٧٨
	40 kg fed ⁻¹	0.18	97.00	٤٦.٣٨	90.15	17.00	Y1.YX	٤٣٣.٦	٤٣.٩٨	٣٠٧٩	٤١١٨
Sa.	60 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.٩٣	٩١.٨٣	41.47	٩٨.١٣	17.77	۲۱.۲۰	٤٥٨.٦	٤٢.٩٣	7191	٤٣٠٣
94	80 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.١٦	97.17	TE.01	1.0.18	11.4.	۲۰٫۳٦	٤٨٨.٠	٤١.٨٦	7777	5574
	40 kg fed ⁻¹	٤.٧٤	9.0.	٤٩.٠٥	1.7.77	17.7.	77.11	٤٠٦.٥	٤٥.٨٠	71.0	٤١٥٥
Ge.	60 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.٧٨	91.17	٤٢.٨٦	1.4.44	17.91	۸۲.۱۲	٤٤٠.٣	22.10	4441	5400
7	80 kg fed ⁻¹	۲.۸٤	٩٠.٨٣	٣٦.٠٦	۱۱۰.۸٦	17.70	۲۱٫۰۲	٤٧٤.٦	٤٢.٤٠	411	££AV

	40 kg fed ⁻¹	٥٠٠٣	98.17	٤٦.٣٨	1.7.77	17.77	۲۱٫۹٦	٤٠٥.٣	٤٤.٠٠	٣٠٦٠	٤١٤١
Ge.	60 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.٧٠	٩٣.٨٣	٣٧.٩٨	11.17	17.27	71.0.	£ £ 7 . A	٥٥.٢٤	۳۲٤.	5777
9	80 kg fed ⁻¹	۲.۹۱	97.0.	٣٣.٤٣	117.77	17.14	۲۰.9٥	٤٧٩.٨	٤٠.٧٣	44.1	47703
	40 kg fed ⁻¹	٤.٦٠	19.00	٤٣.٩٥	99.15	17	۲۰٫٦۰	٤٠٥.٤	٤١.٨٠	7911	٤٠١٥
Si.	60 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.٨٣	9.77	۲٦.٨١	1.4.41	11.4.	۲۰.۲۳	£ £ 7. T	٤٠.٦٥	۳.٧.	٤٢٠٦
1	80 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.٠٠	91	۳۳٫۸٦	1.7.70	11.51	19.98	٤٦٩.٨	٣٨.٩١	4147	१८८०
	40 kg fed ⁻¹	٤.٨٣	91	٤٧.٣٥	۱۰۱.۸۳	17.77	71.17	٤١٠.٠	٤٢.٠٦	4.15	٤٠٦٠
Si.	60 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.٦٩	97.17	44.77	1.2.40	17.00	۲۰.00	٣.٢٥٤	٤٠.٦٦	7117	٤٢٣٦
12	80 kg fed ⁻¹	٣.٠٢	٩١.٨٣	٣٦.١٦	1.4.44	11.41	۲۰.۲۰	٤٧٨.١	٣٩.٣١	4754	٤٣٧٦
L.S	S.D at 5%	0.16	0.86	1.36	1.54	0.20	0.24	5.2	0.33	28	31

-Simple correlation analysis:

Data of simple correlation coefficient matrix shown in Table(4). Results indicate that No. of tillers plant⁻¹, plant height, spike length, number of spikes/m² and straw yield fed⁻ had the greatest influence on grain yield fed⁻¹ with r values being -0.559, 0.826, 0.517, 0.620 and 0.804 respectively. flag leaf area, was significantly correlated with grain yield fed⁻¹ with r value of -0.198. Another correlation worthy of some attention is that between No. of tillers plant⁻¹ and flag leaf area, plant height, No. spikelets/spike⁻¹, number of spikes/m², seed index and straw yield fed⁻¹ with r values being of 0.798, -0.450, 0.554, -0.850, 0.514 and -0.754, respectively while, spike length was significantly associated with No. of tillers plant⁻¹ with r value being of 0.215. Also positive and highly significant relationships were found between flag leaf area and each of spike length, No. spikelets/spike⁻¹, number of spike/m², seed index and straw yield fed⁻¹ r values being of 0.619, 0.761, -0.781, 0.715 and -0.576, respectively, as well as, between plant height and each of spike length, number of spike/m² and straw yield fed⁻¹ with r values being of 0.624, 0.381 and 0.651, respectively. Results clearly indicated that spike length showed highly significant and positive correlation with No. spikelets/spike⁻¹ and seed index (r values = 0.732 and 0.692, respectively), while it was significant and positive association number of spikes/m². On the other hand, No. spikelets/spike⁻¹ was highly significant and positive correlation with number of $spike/m^2$ and seed index (r values = -0.548 and 0.811, respectively) and significant and positive correlation with straw yield fed⁻¹. Number of spikes/m² revealed highly significantly and positively correlated with seed index and straw yield fed⁻¹(r values = -0.461 and 0.841, respectively). These findings in most cases were in accordance with those obtained by El-Wakil and Abd-Alla (2004); Swelam (2008); El-Ganayni and Mahmoud (2008); Ramadan and Awaad (2008) and Hassan (2008).

Table (4). Correlation coefficient between grain yield and its attributes of wheat genotypes (combined data of the two seasons)

(00222				,					
Characters	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
Y-Grain yield fed ⁻¹	-0.559**	-0.152	-0.198*	0.826**	0.517**	0.141	0.620**	0.112	0.804**
1-No. of tillers plant ⁻¹	1.000	0.085	0.798**	-0.450**	0.215*	0.554**	-0.850**	0.514**	-0.754**
2-No. days to heading		1.000	-0.178*	-0.194*	-0.373**	0.004	0.047	-0.251**	0.101
3-Flag leaf area (cm ²)			1.000	-0.067	0.619**	0.761**	-0.781**	0.715**	-0.576**
4-Plant height (cm)				1.000	0.624**	0.177*	0.381**	0.038	0.651**
5-Spike length (cm)					1.000	0.732**	-0.219*	0.692**	0.065
6-No. spikelets/spike ⁻¹						1.000	-0.548**	0.811**	-0.226*
7-No. of spikes/m ²							1.000	-0.461**	0.841**

8-Seed index (g)				1.000	-0.238**
9-Straw yield fed ⁻¹					1.000

REFERENCES

- **Abd El-Hameed, I. A. (2002):** Effect of some agromomic practices on wheat. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- **Abu-Grab, O.S.; A. M. Mousa and G.A. El-Shaarawy (2006):** Photosynthetic and N-use efficiencies for some wheat cultivars in relation to planting density and nitrogen fertilization level. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 21(2B): 475-492.
- Ali, G. A.; O. E. Zeiton; A. H. Bassiouny and A. R. Y. A. El-Banna (2004): Productivity of wheat cultivars grown at El-Khattara and El-Arish under different levels of planting densities and N-fertilization. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 31(4A): 1225-1256.
- **El-Afandy, K. T.; A. A. Abdel-Ati and M. A. Mohamed (2007):** Effect of nitrogen, phosphorus and seeding rates on wheat production and weed control in Siwa Oasis. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 32(8): 6099-6111.
- **El-Ganayni, A. A. and Gamalat A. Mahmoud (2008):** Productivity of two bread wheat cultivars under three seeding rates. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 33(6): 3993-4000.
- **El-Hawary, M. A. (2000):** Evaluation of some wheat varieties under water deficit conditions. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 27(4): 819-830.
- **El-Nady, Hanim, E. A. (2009):** Breeding bread wheat of drought and earliness. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Moshtohor, Benha Univ., Egypt.
- **El-Wakil, Nadia A. R. and Maha M. Abd-Alla (2004):** Influence of seeding rate and nitrogen fertilization level on yield and its attributes of some wheat cultivars. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 19(2): 129-150.
- **Hassanein, M. S.; D. M. El-Hariri and M. A. Ahmed (2001):** Effect of variety and seed rate on yield and yield components of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 39(1): 1-13.
- **Hassan, Manal A. (2008):** Effect of seeding rate and row spacing on productivity and resistance to powdery mildew of two bread wheat cultivars. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 23(10A): 169-182.
- **Mehasen, S. A. S and N. A. Mohamed (2005):** multivariate and response curve analyses for yield and its attributes in some wheat cultivars under nitrogen fertilization levels. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 20(2): 93-109.
- **Mehasen, S. A. S. (1999):** Response of some wheat varieties to agrispon foliar application and nitrogen rates. Annals of Agric. Sci., Moshtohor, 37(2): 853 864.
- Metwally, I. O. E.; A. M. Abd El-All and A. A. Leilah (1998): Effect of preceding summer crops and N fertilizer levels on growth, yield and yield components of wheat. Proc. 8th Conf Agron., Suez Canal Univ., Ismailia, Egypt, 73-79.
- **Michigan State University**, (1983). MSTAT-C: Micro- computer Statistical Program, Version 2.0. Michigan State University, East Lansing.
- Omar, A. E. A. (2007): Productivity of some wheat cultivars as affected by sowing date and seeding rate. Egypt. J. Appl. Sci., 22(3): 103-116.
- Ramadan, A. R. (2003): Breeding for improvement wheat plant characteristics. Ph. D. Thesis, Fac. Agric., Zagazig Univ., Egypt.
- **Ramadan, A. R. and S. S. Awaad (2008):** Response of yield attributes of some bread wheat varieties to irrigation levels and seeding rates under old land conditions. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 33(7): 4717-4737.
- **Saleh, M. E. (2002):** Response of two wheat cultivars to seeding rate and nitrogen level. Zagazig J. Agric. Res., 29(5): 1343-1366.
- Snedecor, G. W. and W. G. Cochran (1980): Statistical Methods, 7 th Ed., Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames, Iowa, USA.
- Steel, R. G. D. and J. H. Torrie (1987): Principles and procedures of statistics. A Biometrical Approach 2^{nd} , 6^{th} printing. Mc. Graw. Hill Book. Company: 272 277.

- **Swelam, A. A. (2008):** Mean performance, correlation and path coefficient analysis for grain yield and its components of four bread wheat cultivars grown under four nitrogen levels. Egypt. J. Agric. Res., 86: 561-573.
- **Toaima, S.E.; A.A. El-Hofi and H. Ashoub (2000):** Yield and technological characteristics of some wheat varieties as affected by nitrogen fertilizer and seed rates. J. Agric. Sci., Mansoura Univ., 25(5): 2449-2467.

تقييم بعض التراكيب الوراثية للقمح تحت معدلات تقاوي مختلفة صديق عبد العزيز صديق محيسن*، محسن على أحمد *، محمد عبد المنعم محمد مرسى ***

- * قسم المحاصيل كلية الزراعة بمشتهر جامعة بنها- مصر
- ** قسم المحاصيل كلية ناصر للعلوم الزراعية جامعة عدن اليمن.
- ***الادارة المركزية لفحص واعتماد التقاوي- وزارة الزراعة واستصلاح الاراضي-مصر

أقيمت تجربتان حقليتان بمركز البحوث والتجارب بكلية الزراعة بمشتهر – جامعة بنها- محافظة القليوبية- مصر خلال موسمى ٢٠٠٧/٠٨ و ٢٠٠٨/٠٩ م لدراسة ثأثير ثلاثة معدلات تقاوي (٤٠ ،٠٠ كجم للفدان) علي النمو والمحصول ومكوناتة لسبعة تراكيب وراثية من القمح (جيزة ١٦٨ ،سخا ٩٣ سخا ٩٤ جميزة ٧ ،جميزة ٩ ،سدس ١ وسدس ١٢)

- ويمكن تلخيص أهم نتائج التحليل التجميعي للموسمين فيما يلي .

- سجل صنف جميزة ٧ أعلى متوسطات لكل من مساحة ورقة العلم ، طول السنبلة ، عدد أبراج السنبلة ، وزن ال١٠٠٠ حبة ومحصول الحبوب للفدان. وسجل صنف جميزة ٩ اعلى القيم لكل من طول النبات ومحصول القش للفدان. بينما سجل الصنف سخا ٩٤ أعلى القيم لكل من عدد الأشطاء للنبات وعدد السنابل في المتر المربع. علاوة على ذلك كانت أفضل الأصناف في صفة التبكير لطرد السنابل هي سدس ١ وجميزة ٧ وسخا ٩٣ على الترتيب مقارنة بالتراكيب الوراثية الأخرى.
- أعطى أعلى معدل تقاوي (٨٠ كجم للفدان) أعلى قيم لكل من طول النبات ، عدد السنابل في المتر المربع ومحصول الحبوب والقش للفدان. وعلى العكس من ذلك أعطى أقل معدل تقاوي (٤٠ كجم للفدان) أعلى قيم لكل من عدد الأشطاء للنبات ، مساحة ورقة العلم ، طول السنبلة ، عدد أبراج السنبلة ، و وزن ال١٠٠٠ حبة. بينما لم تتأثر معنويا صفة عدد الأيام لطرد ٥٠% من السنابل بمعدلات التقاوى المختلفة.
- تأثر معنويا كل من عدد الأشطاء للنبات ، عدد الأيام لطرد ٠٥% من السنابل ، مساحة ورقة العلم ، طول النبات ، طول السنبلة ، عدد أبراج السنبلة ، وعدد السنابل في المتر المربع ، وزن ال١٠٠٠ حبة ومحصول الحبوب والقش للفدان بالتفاعل بين التراكيب الوراثية ومعدلات التقاوى.
- أظهرت النتائج وجود ارتباط موجب وعالي المعنوية بين كل من محصول الحبوب للفدان و صفات النمو و مكونات المحصول المدر وسة.